

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/667,933 09/22/2003		09/22/2003	Shanmuganthan Suganthan	38105.830024.US0	1629
26582	7590	06/30/2005		EXAMINER	
HOLLAND		•	WIMER, MICHAEL C		
555 17TH STREET, SUITE 3200 DENVER, CO 80201				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				2828	

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	AK
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/667,933	SUGANTHAN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael C. Wimer	2828
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH: cause the application to become ABAN	be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☒ This 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters	• •
Disposition of Claims		
4)	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 9/22/03 is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original original representation is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance ion is required if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in App ity documents have been re u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/N	mary (PTO-413) lail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/22/2003.

6) Other: ____.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,933

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

On page 3, lines 16-17 and page 4, line 4, the bracketed information contains superfluous material and should be deleted.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-3,5,6,8,10-16,18 and 20-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Carlson et al. (6414641).

Regarding Claims 1-3,5,6,8,10-16,18 and 20-25, Carlson et al. show a PIFA comprising a radiating patch 1 defined as a blank with periphery, a first, feed connector 12 cut from the blank and extending away from the blank in a first direction and forming a cutout region in the blank, and a second, ground connector 11 extending away from the blank in the first direction, dielectric carrier 2,etc., and locating pins (col. 3, last paragraph), with a bent/deformed locating

pin/tab (unnumbered), locating block (the housing that connects to the feed end of the rod antenna), all arranged as claimed.

4. Claims 1-3,5,6,8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Deng (6344823).

Deng shows in Figures 4 and 5B, an inverted F antenna comprising a patch radiator formed from a conductive blank having a periphery, were the blank has first and second conductors 426 and 424 extending in a first direction away from the blank, all arranged as claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Deng (6344823) in view of Klinger et al. (5532707).

As noted above, the PIFA antenna is shown by Deng. However the cutout region is located on the periphery instead of completely internal as recited in Claim 4. Thus, Klinger et al. are cited as resolving the level of ordinary skill in the antenna art and as evidence of obviousness where the antenna is completely formed within the perimeter of the plate in which the antenna is formed. The feeder, with ground connector 7,7 extends a first direction from the plate 5, and an antenna portion 13, defining the cutout, contributes to the radiation pattern (see col. 4,

Application/Control Number: 10/667,933 Page 4

Art Unit: 2828

third paragraph of Klinger et al.). It would have been obvious to form the antenna of Deng completely within the perimeter of the plate and the aperture thus formed defining an antenna element effecting the radiation pattern.

7. Claims 17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carlson et al. (6414641) in view of Klinger et al (5532707).

Carlson et al. show the PIFA as claimed above. Klinger et al is cited as resolving the level of ordinary skill in the antenna art and shows an antenna completely formed within the perimeter of the plate. It would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to form the Carlson et al. completely within the perimeter, where the cutout formed contributes to the radiation pattern and thus defines an antenna element.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Wimer whose telephone number is (571) 272-1833. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Minsun O. Harvey can be reached on (571) 272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2828

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Michael C. Wimer Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2828

MCW 5/9/2005